

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 28 2005

FAX

TO:	Examiner Stephen Gravini	FROM:	Jonathan Orlick - 31,989
FAX:	703-872-9306	FAX:	818-700-8367
PHONE:	571-272-4875	PHONE:	818-987-9840
SUBJECT:	Application/Control Number: 10/765,408	DATE:	March 28, 2005
APPLICANT:	Walker et. Al.	TIME SENT:	8:40 PM
		PAGES INCLUDING 3 COVER PAGE:	
COMMENTS:			


Stephen:

Attached is Form PTOL-413A along with an attached sheet to more particularly highlight the areas which I anticipate discussing with you.

I have marked my calendar for this Thursday at 9AM my time, 12 Noon your time and will place a call to you at 571-272-4875 then.

I am hoping the interview will help us develop and clarify specific issues which will lead to a mutual understanding and thereby advance the prosecution of the application.

Thanks again,


Jonathan Orlick

PTOL-113A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/765,408 First Named Applicant: Walker et. al.
Examiner: Stephen Gravin Art Unit: 3749 Status of Application: Under Final

Tentative Participants:

(1) Jonathan Orlick (2) _____
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: Thursday March 31, 2005 Proposed Time: 12 (AM/PM) EST

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

See attached sheet

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Jonathan Orlick
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

JONATHAN ORLICK
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

31,989
Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. There will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

APPLICATION NO.: 10/765,408
 EXAMINER: STEPHEN GRAVINI

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT: WALKER ET AL.
 ART UNIT: 3749

Continuation sheet attached to Form PTOL-413A

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED [BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARGUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED]	PENDING CLAIMS	CITED PRIOR ART	DISCUSSED	AGREED	NOT AGREED
Oath or Declaration – Clarification and how and best way to fix	N/A	N/A			
102(b) rejection - Applicant to discuss patentability of invention including a discussion of the applied reference and any possible ways to further clarify claims 1 & 2.	1 & 2	Yaeger – 1,924,824			
103(a) rejection - Applicant to discuss the combination proposed by Examiner. In particular, the Mitsui disclosure, and examiner's clarification of application of Mitsui in the context of the instant invention.	6 & 11	Coleman – US2002/0020405 Mitsui – JP 7-42474			
103(a) rejection - Applicant to discuss views on patentability of instant invention and possible ways to further clarify claim 12.	12	Yaeger – 1,924,824 Freon - US 1,284,299			